

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

APPEAL FROM ORDER No 29 of 1997

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

-----

RAMBHAI N DHADHAL

Versus

SHYAM TRADERS

-----

Appearance:

MR DC DAVE for Appellant

MR MB PARIKH for Respondent No. 1

MR HM PARIKH for Respondent No. 2

NOTICE NOT RECD BACK for Respondent No. 3, 4, 5

-----

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

Date of decision: 17/08/98

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr. Dhaval Dave for the appellant and Mr. A.H.Mehta for respondent no.1 jointly state that the period of octroi contract which is the subject matter of the suit as also the injunction (giving rise to the present appeal) has expired. Learned counsel therefore jointly submit that the present appeal has become

infructuous. The same is accordingly disposed off with  
no order as to costs.

(Y.B.BHATT, J.)